

Appl. No. : 09/309,366
Amdt. dated : June 20, 2003
Reply to Office action of : March 8, 2004

DISCUSSION OF EXAMINER INTERVIEW

In an telephonic interview, date May 11, 2004, the Applicant's Attorney and the Examiner discussed proposed claim amendments that will place the case in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully submits that he has amended the claims in conformance of this discussion.

Appl. No. : 09/309,366
Amdt. dated : June 20, 2003
Reply to Office action of : March 8, 2004

REMARKS

In response to the Final Office Action, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider the above-captioned application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following comments. In an telephonic interview on August 11, 2004, the claims, as proposed to be amended were discussed, and it was Applicant's understanding that these amendments should place the claims in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any further questions or concerns, he is encouraged to contact the undersigned directly.

Applicant has endeavored to address all of the Examiner's concerns as expressed in the outstanding Office Action. Accordingly, amendments to the claims for patentability purposes, the reasons therefore, and arguments in support of the patentability of the pending claim set are presented above. Any claim amendments which are not specifically discussed in the above remarks are not made for patentability purposes, and the claims would satisfy the statutory requirements for patentability without the entry of such amendments. In addition, such amendments do not narrow the scope of the claims. Rather, these amendments have only been made to increase claim readability, to improve grammar, and to reduce the time and effort required of those in the art to clearly understand the scope of the claim language. In light of the


Appl. No. : 09/309,366
Amdt. dated : June 20, 2003
Reply to Office action of : March 8, 2004

above amendments and remarks, reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections is specifically requested. If the Examiner has any questions which may be answered by telephone, he is invited to call the undersigned directly.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: August 30, 2007

By: 
Eric M. Nelson
Registration No. 43,829
Attorney of Record
Customer No. 20,995
(619) 235-8550

S:\DOCS\EMN\EMN-5055.DOC
083004